

CAPISTRANO BAY DISTRICT
AGENDA REPORT
September 29, 2015

Security

ITEM 7

Discussion on Several Items

1. OPEN GATE ACCESS FOR RENTERS – Security will permit an open gate access for property owners as a convenience when they are planning a gathering at their home and have invited several guests. This privilege does not extend to renters. There is word that some renters have been permitted an open gate access. A short investigation found that some of the new security staff had misunderstood the policy so a memo was sent to all security staff to bring home the point that under no conditions shall a renter be permitted an open gate access for their guests.
2. SLOPE EROSION and DAMAGE CAUSED BY KIDS PLAYING - Homeowners have complained that kids playing on the sand embankment in several locations on the beach and are causing an accelerated collapse of the slope.
3. GOLF CART DRIVEN BY YOUNG KIDS – On Sunday 9/19, young kids were observed driving around in two golf carts (renters at 35155). The Vehicle Code is specific on golf carts and requires that a legal golf cart for driving on a road or street must be licensed and registered with DMV, must have functional brake and headlights, seatbelts and a foot brake. The kids were stopped and the illegal golf carts were parked and not permitted to be driven. If you rent a golf cart for use on Beach Road you have to find one that is legally licensed for the street.
4. GATE HOUSE PHONE BUSY ON SUNDAY – the phone modem had gone offline for some unknown reason and required a restart. The gate officer should have notified the District Manager or the Security Supervisor but did not. The Manager was notified of the problem by Board President Masto. A simple restart of the phone modem solved the problem.
5. BEACHED SAILBOAT AT 35687 BEACH ROAD – The boat has been aground on the beach since 8/21. Measurements taken at the site indicate that the boat is on an easement for public access that is maintained by the County of Orange. The District retained an attorney to assist with sorting out the statutes and codes and in determining who is responsible, in addition to the boat owner. The County has denied responsibility despite the existence of easement deeds recorded with the County in the early 1980's which were in turn ACCEPTED by the County in 1989. So far, nothing has come of an attempt by the District to place responsibility on the County and the boat remains on the beach. Proposals for removal of the boat range from \$13.5K to \$20K.